C.S. 2004 SINGLE BENCH (9)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1074 OF 2006

PETITIONERS : 1. Laxmi Nath, S/o Mahadev, Aged about 20 years, R/o Village Ratinaikras, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

2. Mukka Ram, S/o Bandi, Aged about 22 years, R/o Village Hikmiras, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

3. Sukhdas, S/o Dhansay, Aged about 30 years, R/o Ratinaikras, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

4. Ramaram, S/o Dasmu, Aged about 23 years, R/o Village Gumma, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

5. Gangaram, S/o Chamar, Aged about 22 years, R/o Vilalge Gumma, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

6. Ramdhan, S/o Sukhram, Aged about 23 years, R/o Village Bhandaras, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

7. Arjun, S/o Mahadev, Aged about 30 years, R/o Village Tikirpal, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1144/06
Presented by Shri Ashok Kan...
dated 13/3/06

RECEIVED COPY
13.3.06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(2)
(10)

8. Maniram Belsariya, S/o. Ram Chandra, Aged about 25 years, R/o. village Tikirpal, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.)

9. Ramkusto, S/o. Somaru, Aged about 30 years, R/o. Tikirpal, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.)

10. Subati, W/o. Sukman, Aged about 27 years, R/o. Tikirpal, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.)

11. Mahadev Muchaki, S/o. Shri Bhada Muchaki, Aged about 24 years, R/o. village Doleras, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.)

12. Hadma Ram Mandavi, S/o. Kosha Mandavi, Aged about 24 years, R/o. Doleras, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.)

13. Hoja Mandavi, S/o. Hadma, Aged about 30 years, R/o. Doleras, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.)

14. Handa Kawashi, S/o. Kosa, Aged about 21 years, R/o. Gorli, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.)

15. Hirma Ram Mandavi, S/o. Bhima, Aged about 22 years, R/o. Gorli, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.)

(3) (11)

16. Hidma Ram Madvi, S/o Bhima, Aged about 23 years, R/o Village Gorli, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

17. Kosa Markam, S/o Late Bandi, Aged about 21 years, R/o Village Udlatarai, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

18. Kosa Ram Odyami, S/o Shri Joga, Aged about 20 years, R/o Village Udlatarai, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

19. Deva Muchaki, S/o Shri Chunha, Aged about 22 years, R/o Village Udlatarai, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

20. Smt. Manju Padami, W/o Shri Harish Padami, Aged about 21 years, R/o Village Udlatarai, Tahsil Sukma, District Dantewada (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

(4)
(12)

2. The Collector, Dantewada (C.G.)
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dantewada (C.G.)
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dantewada (C.G.)
5. The Block Education Officer, Dantewada (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(42)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1074/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21-3-2006 Shri Kishore Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioners. Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners who were working as cook filed this petition that they were employed in the schools since 2003 and had been regularly working till 28-2-2006. It is stated that there were 444 posts, out of which some have been filled and some posts are still to be filled. In this regard, counsel referred to document Annexure-P/1. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, for the present ends of justice will be served, if a direction is given to the petitioners to make individual representations raising their grievances before the competent authority of respondents within a period of 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains the petitioners may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of at this stage. Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.838/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	
Gowri		

देखिये